



A SELECTION OF OPENING CHOICES FROM THE 2023 WORLD CUP IN BAKU

How To Prepare Openings?

Instead of following the engine's top choices, why don't you make your own preferred choices? If approved, you'll have an original opening repertoire which you can fully understand, rather than blindly memorizing and following those top choices of the engines. In the examples that follow, you will learn how to approach opening preparation, and also note how some "secondary" lines might bring success.

■ By GM Emilio Cordova

owadays the input of computers in the chess world has placed openings on a "what to play list". However the next step is how to utilize the engines in the proper way, especially in the openings, so we can benefit from this valuable and amazing assistant in a way that will fulfil our needs. It must never be forgotten that, at the end of the day, the engine is just a tool, a very efficient one but nevertheless still a tool.

Moreover engines have made openings the most important focus of preparation before entering a tournament. Therefore, I will take the opportunity to introduce you to the topic of "how to prepare openings" with help of examples taken from the prestigious FIDE World Cup 2023. This strong tournament provided many interesting and playable ideas in various well-known setups – fresh ideas that will guide us when working with our silicone friend.

In this article I aim to present a variety of games with different setups, such as the English, Caro-Kann, Rossolimo, Open Sicilian, Neo Grunfeld, and Catalan.

So, let's begin in order – by ECO codes.



THE ENGLISH OPENING

The English Opening has always been considered a very sound and rock-solid opening. Strategically speaking, this opening adopts more of a long-term approach - in other words it aims for superior endgames. In this case, let's investigate an interesting and easy-going line for Black, which will enable you to deal with the English Opening quite effectively.

A34

Hikaru Nakamura	2787
R. Praggnanandhaa	2707

Round 4, Game 1, World Cup, Baku 2023

1.c4 Some say the English Opening is a "Sicilian with an extra tempo"... However, the approach of this flexible opening is a little different from the ever dynamic Sicilian. In fact, generally speaking, the English Opening is far more positional and quiet than the Sicilian.

1...\$\Quad f6 2.\$\Quad c3 c5 3.g3 d5 4.cxd5 **②xd5** This is considered to be the most direct way to adopt a specific setup against the English. It is not about a right or wrong system, it is up to you whether or not you like what you see on the board. In fact, Black's main ideas rather resemble the Maroczy Bind with colors reversed - quiet positions with broad scope and solid control of the center.

5.\(\delta\)g2 \(\delta\)c7 6.\(\delta\)f3 \(\delta\)c6 7.d3 e5 Now we can see some resemblance to the ever solid and logical Maroczy Bind. 8. 2 d2 & e7 9. 2 c4 0-0 10.0-0



1. d4 2 f6 2. c4 e6

1. d4 ② f6 2. c4 a6 _ 3... d5



10...f6 As in any Maroczy Bind, it's important not to rush but keep improving and reinforcing the center, while gaining space on the queenside. The key is to restrain any attempts by your opponent to weaken vour pawn structure.

10... dd7!? is an interesting try if you are looking for a sharper game. 11.\(\hat{\omega}\)xc6 Most of the time, trading the light-squared bishop for the knight is not so attractive for White, since the g2-bishop is the key piece in this position... White needs to have full control of the position in order to proceed with this exchange of pieces. 11...\(\hat{\pm}\)xc6 12.√2xe5 ≜e8 Black is down a pawn, but the bishop pair in a semi-open position, plus the activity of his pieces, together with the weaknesses on White's kingside, should give Black enough compensation. In this connection let me reveal a little secret - "If you are down a pawn but in return you have the bishop pair in a semiopen position, then you are not really down a full pawn - maybe only half a pawn!". So, don't be afraid.

11.f4 exf4 12.ዿxf4 ②e6 Controlling the center is the key, never forget that! 13. \dd \dd d T A "no rush" strategy.

14.ᡚd5 \Bb8 15.e4



Emilio Cordova a Peruvian Grandmaster, peaked at a 2660 FIDE rating in December 2016. A talented junior, he won multiple Pan-American championships and has represented Peru in seven Chess Olympiads from 2004-2022, leading the team in 2016, 2018, and 2022. Cordova was the American Continental Champion in 2016 and tied for first in 2017. As nart of the Webster Chess. Team, he earned several Pan-Am titles and an individual gold in 2019. Emilio also has over 14 years of coaching experience.



15...b5 Gaining space and playing on the queenside forms part of Black's main strategy here. Don't try to play on the kingside – it's better to concentrate your mind on the center and queenside.

16. ②xe7+ ∰xe7 17. ②e3 ②ed4 Black has gained powerful control of the center and a very easy-going position. After a fierce fight, this game ended in a draw.

17...a5!? 18.ᡚf5 ∰d8 19.\colon c1∞ In any case, Black should be happy with the position he has reached with his choice of opening.

This line can be considered as a very nice and concrete line to play against the ever-solid English. The ideas are not so difficult, and the move order is very natural. But always keep in mind not to rush!



CARO-KANN

The Caro-Kann Defense is known for its solidity and quiet positional play. However, such an assessment does not really convey the essence of this defense. In fact, its flexibility makes it a very interesting choice and fun to learn.

B12

Ivan Cheparinov	2663
Jan-Krzysztof Duda	2732

Round 3. Game 1. World Cup. Baku 2023

flexible setup than I previously thought! **3.e5** With this advance, White is telling

1.e4 c6 2.d4 d5 The Caro-Kann is a more

his opponent that his intentions are to gain space and attack on either flank, depending on Black's reaction. Indeed, it is the side having a space advantage who has the better chance to operate on both sides of the board.

3...\$f5 Compared to the French Defense, in the Caro-Kann Black has the opportunity to develop his light-squared bishop. However, this will not be for free, as it will cost him some extra tempi.

4.②f3 4.h4 is another interesting try for White. The idea is to gain more space and provoke some weaknesses on the kingside. On the other hand, 4. 2 d2 is considered to be the move leading to a gain of space on the queenside.

4...e6 5.\(\delta\)e2 c5 It is very important to solidify the center with ...e6, before proceeding to undermine White's center with ...c7-c5. Never forget that remaining solid is your responsibility!

6.≜e3 \mathbb{\mathbb{m}}b6 Once the center is secured. vou can always seek adventure by being a little more intrepid.

7.ᡚc3 ᡚc6 8.ᡚa4 ∰a5+ 9.c3 c4



You won't normally see this move very often, since Black's main idea relies on effective pressure against d4. However, here Black will gain a powerful pawn phalanx on the queenside, as he would by the customary ...f7-f6, which will result in making White's center less stable. In fact, the ...f7-f6 thrust is considered to be the key idea in this type of structure.

In general, if you see an enemy pawn that has crossed the middle of the board and it is depriving your pieces of free movement, try to formulate plans which can help you to undermine that pawn.

10.b4 2 d8 11.0−0 h6 It is very important

to open a window for your light-squared bishop. If you have the chance to retain this piece, than do so. The text move is not only directed towards preserving a good square for the f5 bishop, but also enables Black to play ...g7-g5 in some positions.

12.2e1 2ge7 13.g3 2h7 Once the bishop gets to h7, the f5 square becomes attractive, since from there Black's knight will exert pressure on both d4 and the kingside, allowing it a multi-purpose function.

14. 2g2 2f5 15. 2f4



15... \(\delta xb4!\) By going for this amazing sacrifice, Black will obtain a very powerful pawn chain, together with control of the center. Now we can see how effective are the bishop on h7 and the knight on f5.

16.cxb4 **②fxd4** 17.**②c3 ②**xe2+ **18.②xe2 ②xb4** Black has three pawns for a piece. After a tough and lengthy battle the game ended in a draw. However, it was Black who was striving for more.

In general, we can learn a lot from basic but relevant ideas - like the setup with the bishop going to h7 and knight going to f5. Combining these two pieces in a productive way might help Black seize the initiative. Never forget that once White plays 3.e5, Black's main idea will be to remain flexible at all times by considering the pawn breaks ...f7-f6, ...g7-g5, and ...c7-c5.

THE SICILIAN DEFENSE

The Rossolimo Variation has become one of the top choices for players who are tired of coping with the huge amount of theory that has developed in the Open Sicilian. Most of the critical ideas will be explained from both sides' perspectives, since I believe that this opening can be readily played on pure chess understanding. Moreover, lately there has been a very strong tendency to play the Rossolimo against the Sicilian, therefore it's important to know a bit more about the main ideas of this opening.

40 AMERICAN CHESS MAGAZINE #35 · 2023 AMERICAN CHESS MAGAZINE #35 · 2023 41

B30

Magnus Carlsen	2839
Nijat Abasov	2646

Semi-final match, Game 1, World Cup, Baku 2023

1.e4 c5 2.②f3 ②c6 3.Ձb5 The Rossolimo has accumulated a lot of fans in recent years. Its simple strategy and easy-going play have made this line a very popular choice among players of all strengths. In a sense the main idea of the Rossolimo resembles a purely classical style − it relies on completing White's piece development quite quickly and, if possible, damaging Black's pawn structure in the process.

3...e6 The "...e6 line" can be considered as "forever green". Some years ago, 3...g6 was literally everyone's top choice!

5.b3 Probably one of the most interesting move orders. Black aims to obtain a very powerful and flexible pawn center. In fact, what matters the most is how flexible your pawn structure can be in order to allow active piece play, particularly for the bishops. I'd like to illustrate Black's main ideas in the following lines.

5.e5?! is not bad, but at least to me is a somewhat suspect idea. 5...f6! is the main response. Black uses his f-pawn to undermine the opponent's e-pawn, and thereby reconstruct his pawn center by later playing ...d7-d6. Then play can continue 6.d3 fxe5 7.\(\Delta\)xe5 \(\Delta\)f6 8.0-0 \(\Delta\)d6 9.f4 0-0 10.\(\Delta\)e2 \(\Delta\)c7 11.\(\Delta\)d2 d6...



...achieving the ideal setup! Taking a close look at Black's pawn structure illustrates what it means to have a flexible pawn structure. Mobility, flexibility, and protection.

Also after 5.d3 $\underline{\mbox{$^\circ$}}$ C7 6.0-0 $\underline{\mbox{$^\circ$}}$ e2 $\underline{\mbox{$^\circ$}}$ g6, Black's previous moves are shown to

be directed at preparing to play ...e6-e5 at an appropriate moment, thereby obtaining a mobile and flexible pawn chain in the center. 8.e5 f6 Once again the f-pawn plays its part. 9.\(\mathbb{Z}\)e1 \(\hat{\pm}\)e7 Maintaining the tension and increasing the pressure. (9...\(fxe5\) can be met by \(10.\hat{\pm}\)g5!? with strong kingside play! Also after 9...\(\hat{\pm}\)xe5 \(10.\hat{\pm}\)xe5 \(\mathbb{Z}\)xe5 \(\mathbb{Z}



This is a dream come true for Black in view of his amazing pawn structure! Black is already for choice here.



5...d6 Aiming to construct an almost perfect setup with his pawn on e5. Black would then gain a lot of space in the center when his flexible pawn structure would offer him all kinds of pawn thrusts.

5...e5 shows how this setup was originally conceived. 6. \(\tilde{\tilde{\pi}} xe5 \) \(\frac{\pi}{\pi} e7 \) 7. \(\frac{\pi}{\pi} b2 \) d6 8. \(\tilde{\pi} c4 \) d5 9. \(\tilde{\pi} e3 \) d4 Aiming at the b2 bishop. 10. \(\tilde{\pi} c4 \) \(\frac{\pi}{\pi} xe4 + 11. \) \(\frac{\pi}{\pi} e2 \) \(\frac{\pi}{\pi} xe2 + 12. \) \(\frac{\pi}{\pi} xe2 \) \(\frac{\pi}{\pi} e6 \) Black now has the bishop pair, but this is an endgame. Therefore, quiet moves and long-term play will matter more than the dynamic factor. I dare say that this position is easier to play for White. However, Black is solid enough. 13.d3 \(\tilde{\pi} f6 \) 14. \(\tilde{\pi} bd2 \) 0-0-0 was seen in the stem game V.Anand 2791 - B.Gelfand 2727, Moscow 2012, which ended in a draw on move 25.

I believe that 5...f6 is better suited to the spirit of this position. Then 6.0-0 \triangle h6 is another flexible way to complete Black's piece development. (On 6...d6 7.c3! is an interesting idea to challenge ...e5 with d2-d4! Then after 7... \triangle e7 8.d4 cxd4 9.cxd4 d5 \triangle Black's pawn structure is sufficiently

flexible. Therefore, his position must be at least okay.) 7.c4



- A) 7...e5? is bad, because of 8.d4!!, and White will inflict enough damage on Black's center to claim an advantage. 8... exd4 (Or similarly 8...cxd4 9.\(\hat{\hat{\hat{2}}}\)xh6 gxh6 10.\(\hat{\hat{2}}\)c5!±;
- B) 7... △f7 8.d4 cxd4 9. △xd4 ≜e7 White is marginally better, but Black maintains his flexibility, along with control of the center.
- **6.e5!?** This very interesting classical idea gives White the opportunity to significantly change Black's pawn structure. In fact White sacrifices a pawn but in return Black's position will be full of weaknesses, principally the c5 pawn.

6...dxe5 7.d3 f6 Black is up a pawn, but his c5 pawn will remain a target for the entire game. Moreover, Black's pawn center will lose its flexibility, since any pawn advance will create new weaknesses.

7...c4!? is an interesting attempt to keep the dynamic factor alive. After 8.bxc4 @e7 9.@bd2 c5 10.0-0 f6, at least now the light-squared bishop will be able to join the battlefield. Remember that you should always try to give meaning and purpose to your pieces. $11.@e4\infty$.

8. 2bd2 2h6 9. 프g1!? Trying to provoke more weaknesses on the kingside as well.



9... a6? From a6 the bishop is smacking against a big wall (the d3 pawn). In other words, this move is pointless.

9... ②f5 10.g4 ②d4! gives purpose to the knight and Black should now be fine.

10.g4 White aims to provoke more weaknesses on the kingside by implying that it would be too dangerous for Black to castle there.

10... ②f7 11. 營e2 奧e7 12. ②b2 營a5 13.c4 Depriving Black of any crazy dream related to the ...c5-c4 move, which would make Black's position very dynamic and easy to play.

13...g5 14.h4 h6 15.\(\mathbb{E}\)h1 White has won the opening battle, and later Carlsen won this game as well after a tough fight.

This game was played in the semi-finals and, although it didn't bring him a favorable result, Abasov stuck to his guns in the next match for third place against Caruana.

B30

Fabiano Caruana	2782
Nijat Abasov	2646

Match for 3rd, Game 4 (Rapid), World Cup, Baku 2023

1.e4 c5 2.②f3 ②c6 3.②b5 Here we go again it's the Rossolimo – the classics will never go out of fashion!

3...e6 4.0-0 The most natural and simple way to play.

4... Qe7 Here Black here has several playable options, 4... **Qd4** being one of them. However, I think the text move better meets the demands of the position.

5. Be1 a6 The most trendy line although Black has a number of moves since his pawn structure is so flexible. In fact, almost any move is playable here and any decision would still lead to very complex Sicilian-type positions.

5... another interesting try for all Sicilian fans.



A1) 11...f6?! 12.exf6 \$\delta\$xf6 (After

FIDE CARE UNA SUMUS

▲ Niiat Abasov

12... \(\mathbb{Z}\) xf6?! 13.\(\mathbb{L}\)g5, Black will be forced to trade his dark-squared bishop, which should benefit White rather than Black.) 13.g3\(\mathbb{L}\).

A2) 11...h6, preparing the thematic strike at White's center. 12.g3 f6! As in almost every French Defense game, Black has to react with ...f7-f6!.

B) 6... £e7 7.d4 cxd4 8.cxd4 d5 is another version of the French-like structure.

6.\hat{\hat{\hat{e}}}f1 d5 7.exd5 \hat{\hat{\hat{e}}}xd5 8.d4 \hat{\hat{e}}f6 Very playable for sure, and probably a more flexible approach.

8...cxd4 is the most direct way to remove some uncertainty from the board. 9.♠xd4 ♠db4 Now we have a very interesting endgame, where Black needs to know how best to place his pieces, based on his pawn structure. 10.♠xc6 ∰xd1 11.∄xd1



- A) I think 11...心xc6 is also playable here. However, White can take advantage of the vacant b6 square. 12.c3 e5 13.彙e3 彙g4 14.f3 彙e6 15.心d2 f6 16.a4 First securing some space on the queenside. 16...彙e7 17.心c4 堂f7 18.彙b6± Now White can seize the initiative by exploiting the b6 square.
- B) After 11...bxc6 Black's pawn structure is worse due to his split queenside pawns. However, it's not easy to show why this structure is that bad. 12. \triangle a3 a5 13.c3 (13.242 260) 13... \triangle d5 Black has very active piece play, since all his pieces can be easily developed. 14. \triangle c4 f6! This is the key! It allows Black to consolidate his position, taking the e5

square under control and vacating the f7 square for his king.

9.**≜e**3



9... ②g4?! Black is behind in development. Therefore he is unlikely to succeed in his attempts at attack, as his pieces are barely active and the center is open and unstable.

10.\(\delta\)g5! Logical and best.

10...f6? 10...心f6! It is never too late to say "sorry". After 11.≜xf6 營xf6 12.d5! 心d4 13.心fd2± White's structure is way better, due to all Black's weaknesses and slow development.

11. **②f4** Not bad, but also not best. 11.d5!! was the most concrete and direct way to punish such a brave king. 11... **②e7** (After 11...fxg5 12.dxc6 **②**xd1 13. **③**xd1 bxc6 14. **②**bd2+- the pawn structure speaks for itself!)



A) 12.h3

A1) 12...fxg5 13.hxg4 ②xd5 14.Ձc4 ②b6 15.②a3± A simple look at Black's pawn structure speaks volumes about the position in which there are weaknesses all over the place.

A2) 12... ②xf2 13.₾xf2 fxg5 14.②c3±; **B)** 12.♠d2 ②xd5 13.h3!

B1) 13...h5 14.වh4 වe5 15.ଞxe5 fxe5 16.වg6 ଞh7 17.ඔd3! ඔd6 18.ව්c3+–

B2) 13... ∅h6 14. ≜xh6 gxh6 15. ∅h4+−. **11...cxd4 12.h3**





12...②h6?! 12...g5! is what the position demands! Natural and logical moves don't suit this unusual setup. 13.\(\delta\)c1 h5!?∞

13. **k**xh6 gxh6 White has finally achieved his main goal in the Rossolimo - to damage Black's pawn structure.

14.②bd2 b5 15.a4+− White later won in a very convincing way. Black's pawn structure has been severely damaged, his king is still exposed in the center, and his center pawns can't really move without a further weakening of his king's position.

The Sicilian Defense has formed part of the repertoire of many top players, especially the Najdorf, which in fact we will also discuss later. Its versatility and dynamism have made the Najdorf the top choice line above the rest.

Besides that, I would like to show and explain another interesting line in the Sicilian known as The Four Knights Variation. This line has become very trendy and proven to be solid as a rock, as well as easy to remember. The ideas of this setup will be explained in more detail in the games that follow.

B45

Fabiano Caruana	2782
Nijat Abasov	2646

Match for 3^{rd,} Game 2, World Cup, Baku 2023

1.e4 c5 It's always so refreshing to see the famous and powerful Sicilian being played at the highest level. Especially at this time when we are forever being so influenced by engine suggestions, and not the opposite. In fact, we should never forget that engines are tools – of course, useful tools but ones we should use with care.

2.\$\alpha\$f3 e6 3.d4 cxd4 4.\$\alpha\$xd4 \$\alpha\$c6 5.₺c3 ₺f6 The Four Knights Variation in the Sicilian Defense has become a very hard nut to crack. This old line has so often proved its resilience and even been adopted by strong GMs as part of their principal repertoire. Also the logical and fluent play of this setup makes it an easy line to remember. In fact Black's main strategy here relies on its effectiveness in making

the most of his quick piece development and control of the center.



move itself, but rather for Fabi's bravery in playing this kind of suspect move, which leads to equality. Of course, because of the influence of the engine, it is very rare to see moves that aren't considered its top two or three choices. This approach makes us less creative and intrepid. That's why I believe the best way to use engines is by suggesting moves and ideas to it, in order to have more clarity and a better understanding of the resulting positions. The idea of £f4 is clear and clean - to control the d6 square, together with having a knight check on c7 as a potential threat.

The top two choices here are 6. 位 db5 and 6. 2xc6, both with good play, but with tons of theory, which leaves less space for improvisation.

6. Ødb5 ≜b4 is in the spirit of the Four Knights Variation. (6...d6 7.\(\ddot{2}\)f4 e5 8.\(\ddot{2}\)g5 leads to a Sveshnikov setup.) 7.a3 &xc3+ 8. 2xc3 d5 9.exd5 exd5 10. 2d3 0-0 11.0-0 d4 12. 2e2 \(\frac{1}{2}\)g4 White has the bishop pair, but Black has very fluent and easier piece play.

6.ව්xc6 bxc6 7.e5 ව්d5 8.ව්e4 Probably the main *tabiya* (critical opening position) of this setup. 8... 2c7 9.f4 2b6 10.c4 âb4+ (10... De3!?) 11. De2 f5∞ This line has a lot of theory ahead and leads to very complex positions where both sides have their pluses and minuses.

Interestingly enough, both these continuations could be seen in the World Cup games of one of Caruana's main seconds - Leinier Dominguez! As a curiosity, Dominguez was eliminated from the World Cup by his own mentor, so it's likely that he then switched to helping Fabi in this match. So, 6.2f4 was certainly a worthy recommendation to test Abasov's preparation and memory!

6... **≜b4** 7. **②db5** With this move order, White forces his opponent on the ropes by testing his knowledge of this very sharp line. 7...♠xe4 7...0-0 is a less accurate move order, which will allow White to keep the position under control. For example, 8.\(\hat{\pma}\)c7

₩e7 9.\(\delta\)d6!, and now Black is deprived of having his own mobile pawn center, which is indeed what makes this line very attractive. After 9... 2xd6 10. 2xd6, Black lacks any pawn play in the center.

8. #f3 d5 9. 2c7+ #f8 10.0-0-0 &xc3 11.bxc3



Fabi has forced his opponent to enter a very concrete position, where the moves won't come easily or naturally. In fact, in such situations, either you know the line or you don't! Playing secondary lines like 6.\(\hat{\pma}\)f4 (which is absolutely not without venom) might offer you some advantages such as the surprise factor, getting your opponent out of his comfort zone (theory), etc. Some secondary lines can be more dangerous than main lines, because those are not so well studied and tend to be more dynamic. 11... **≌e7**?! Not the most precise. Here Black is forced to continue playing natural moves like 11...e5. However, as I said, such moves in a dynamic position, you either know or you don't know. This guessing game might be considered a gambling zone – a sort of lottery.

11...e5 12.\(\Delta\)xd5 f5 Since this line is known as the Four Knights, it makes sense to reinforce these pieces at any cost. 13. ≜e3 ∰a5 14. ≜c4 ≜e6 leads to a very complex position.

12.c4 ≝f6? Mistakes very often come in pairs!

13.c3! After Black lost tempi by playing ... ∰e7 and ... ∰f6, he achieved nothing more than a consolidation of White's position.

16.②xa8 After the trade of queens, White exploited his advantage without particular problems in a very technical endgame.

The £f4 sideline has proved how dangerous it can be. That's why it's important to keep searching and suggesting interesting lines to the engine – in that way we may be able to win without even thinking so much with our own brains. In this case, the surprise paid off!

> TO BE CONTINUED IN ACM #36

HOW TO CREATE AN INVESTMENT PLAN? HOW AI HELPED CHESS PLAYERS WITH ADJUSTING THEIR GAME PLANS?

Choose wisely

■ By Luca Barillaro



Luca Barillaro is doctor of economics, an residing in Modena, Italy. Luca is also an avid chess player, and thanks to his proficiency in using Al for chess preparation, his help was of benefit to several elite grandmasters and their teams.

chess there is a saving that "a bad plan is better than no plan at all." In order to adopt the

correct plan, chess theorists have Play Equal Positions. found the connection between openings, middlegames and endgames, and modern chess is based on these connections, but with the use of AI! However, don't get misled by the statistics - choose your own plan tailored to your own style and preference.

Any investment strategy is built up around the investor's risk tolerance and his/her needs. The combination of these two makes up an investment plan. As Tartakower used to say: "tactics is knowing what to do when there is something to do, while strategy is knowing what to do when there is nothing to

In finance the importance of having a plan cannot be stressed enough. The investment plan is very much created like in chess – according to the needs of the investor (player), his/her risk tolerance (age, experience, willingness to risk), strategy choice (fundamental, technical, macro) and time horizon (short term, long term). Nonetheless, one plan may not be suitable for different investors, just like not every opening strategy is suitable for every player.

Therefore, we must not stop at the percentages that we see in the chessbase opening tree, but it is necessary to understand the plans behind the moves – just as it happens on financial markets where different investment decisions in a portfolio must be aimed at the realization of a single plan. It is not enough (and, indeed, it is dangerous) to test an investment strategy and use it only because it has had positive returns in the past.

The investment process is made up of a plan and within the plan of several micro-plans as GM Kotronias illustrates in his illuminating book *How to*

The importance of having a plan is known to all chess players ever since they first learn the rudiments of strategy and tactics. Standard plans in the main openings are usually dictated by pawn structures that form the skeleton of the position, but the

qualitative leap in elaboration of the plans started thanks to the research of Botvinnik and later of all the Soviet masters. The connection between the opening and the middlegame up to the deep exploration of typical endgames deriving from different strategic plans in different openings has been. This was a strong point of the Soviet masters for many generations after the Second World War. The books by Zlotnik and Shereshevsky Mastering the Endgame vol.1&2 are just one example of the depth of analysis that Soviet players applied in the pre-computer era. On the internet, as indicated by GM Ehlvest in his book Grandmaster *Opening Preparation*, it is probably still possible to recover one of the leaked files from Kasparov's preparation in the mid-1990s which demonstrates how different opening lines were explored all the way to the

In finance, different strategies are tested through AI, both on past time series and "live", in order to find the most efficient way to deal with different market Nepomniachtchi. environments.

endgame.

Today in chess it is no mystery that every professional GM uses artificial intelligence to verify and test the opening plans by assessing their validity in probabilistic terms depending

on the possible endings that may 7.2bd2 0-0 8.2b3 2e7 9.e3

Even in the recent World Cup we had several examples. Daniele Vocaturo, against Volokitin, employed a Grunfeld line which seemed to perform highly negative for Black.

Andrei Volokitin **Daniele Vocaturo**

FIDE World Cup, Baku 2023

0-0 10.0-0 e6 11.罩c1 豐e7

1.d4 \$\alpha\$ f6 2.c4 a6 3.\$\alpha\$ c3 d5 4.cxd5 2xd5 5.e4 2xc3 6.bxc3 **g**q7 7.**፪c4 c5 8.ᡚe2 ᡚc6 9.፪e3**

2674

2609

\(\frac{1}{2}\) \(\frac{1}2\) \(\fraca

12. 臭b5 罩d8 13. 臭xc6 bxc6 14. ^幽a4 cxd4 15.cxd4 a5 16. ^国fd1 Ձa6 17.夕c3 ₩b4 18.a3 ₩xa4 19. 2 xa4 \$b5 20. 2 c5 a4 21. 2 b7 \(\bar{a}\)d7 22.\(\Delta\)c5 \(\Bar{a}\)dd8 23.\(\Delta\)b7 \(\Bar{a}\)d7 24. 公c5 罩dd8 Draw

The Italian GM has reached a high degree of specialization in the use of AI engines that can be seen clearly from his style and preparation, now at the level of 2700 players. In this specific case, the plan with 11... e7 allows Black to simplify the game and enter an endgame without risk.

The clearest example in using plans double-checked by AI seems to be that of Indian GM Vidit in his match against Ian

lan Nepomniachtchi Vidit Santosh Gujrathi FIDE World Cup, Baku 2023

1.c4 2f6 2.2f3 e6 3.g3 d5 4. \$\dong g2 d4 5.0-0 \$\dong c6 6.d3 \$\dong c5\$ dxe3 10. \(\dag{\pma}\)xe3 \(\dag{\pma}\)q4 11. \(\dag{\pma}\)c5 \(\dag{\pma}\)xc5 12.②xc5 b6



13. 2 d2 2 ge5 14. 2 cb3 & b7 15.d4 ᡚq6 16.≌e1 a5 17.ᡚf3 a4 18. 2 c1 a3 19.b3 2 d6 Black won on move 60.

Vidit (Black) deliberately enters a line he had previously employed with White against Keymer. The line seems statistically favorable for White, but Black's idea is to gain space on the queenside with ...a5-a4-a3 to win the endgame. Vidit's maneuver, typical of the AlphaZero style, is clearly the result of studying possible endings, and his plan has

failed to counter Black's plan. The temptation to judge one line better than another just by looking at past results is as high as it is wrong. Backtesting is always a useful tool, but it can also be misleading, and, therefore, dangerous.

eventually proved successful

against Nepomniachtchi who

Past statistics are certainly useful, but they are not a guarantee of success – just as the strategies applied by other investors are not necessarily suitable for our risk tolerance and our investment objectives. In chess, the study of plans must remain closely connected to the middlegame and endgame objectives which must be suitable to our style and our needs in a single game or a tournament.

AMERICAN CHESS MAGAZINE #35 · 2023 47 46 AMERICAN CHESS MAGAZINE #35 • 2023